TENT COOPERATION TRE

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF ELECTION

(PCT Rule 61.2)

To

Assistant Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office Box PCT Washington, D.C.20231 ÉTATS-UNIS D'AMÉRIQUE

Date of mailing (day/month/year)
17 December 1999 (17.12.99)
In its capacity as elected Office

PCT/US99/09521
International filing date (day/month/year)
03 May 1999 (03.05.99)

Applicant

CHOREV, Michael et al

1.	The designated Office is hereby notified of its election made:
	X in the demand filed with the International Preliminary Examining Authority on:
	02 December 1999 (02.12.99)
	in a notice effecting later election filed with the International Bureau on:
2.	The election X was
	was not
:	made before the expiration of 19 months from the priority date or, where Rule 32 applies, within the time limit under Rule 32.2(b).

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Authorized officer

Aino Metcalfe

Telephone No.: (41-22) 338.83.38

Form PCT/IB/331 (July 1992)

Facsimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35

3020376

From the

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To: TSAO. Y. Rocky et al. FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 225 Franklin Street Boston, Massachusetts 02110-28	MAY 2 2 20 04 Fish & Richards	JÚ NOTIFIC ON, P.C. THE INT	PCT ATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF ERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE	BOSTON OFF	ICE EX	XAMINATION REPORT (PCT Rule 71.1)
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	12.05.2000
Applicant's or agent's file reference 00537/169WO1		6	MPORTANT NOTIFICATION
International application No. International filing date (da PCT/US99/09521 03/05/1999		ay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 05/05/1998
Applicant SOCIETE DE CONSEILS DE REC	CHERCHES ET et al.		

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translational Bureau will prepare an English translation of the

Reviewed By Practice Systems

Reviewed By Billing Secretary

Initials:

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich

Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Authorized officer

Vullo, C

Tel.+49 89 2399-8061





(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference		f Transmittal of International Search Report
00537/169W01	ACTION (Form PC1/ISA/2	20) as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)
PCT/US 99/09521	03/05/1999	05/05/1998
Applicant		
SOCIETE DE CONSEILS DE REG	CHERCHES ET D'APPLICATION	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
This International Search Report has beer according to Article 18. A copy is being tra	n prepared by this International Searching Auth	nority and is transmitted to the applicant
	_	
This International Search Report consists It is also accompanied by	of a total of 5 sheets. a copy of each prior art document cited in this	report.
Basis of the report		
	international search was carried out on the bas ess otherwise indicated under this item.	is of the international application in the
the international search w Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).	as carried out on the basis of a translation of th	ne international application furnished to this
 b. With regard to any nucleotide anwas carried out on the basis of the 		ternational application, the international search
	nal application in written form.	
₩	rnational application in computer readable form	1.
	this Authority in written form. this Authority in computer readble form.	
The statement that the sub	sequently furnished written sequence listing do s filed has been furnished.	pes not go beyond the disclosure in the
· · ·		identical to the written sequence listing has been
2. X Certain claims were four	nd unsearchable (See Box I).	
3. Unity of invention is lack	ding (see Box II).	
4. With regard to the title,	•	
X the text is approved as sui	bmitted by the applicant.	
the text has been establish	ned by this Authority to read as follows:	
5 With report to the chatana		
With regard to the abstract, the text is approved as suitable.	bmitted by the applicant	
the text has been establish	ned, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority date of mailing of this international search repo	
6. The figure of the drawings to be publi	shed with the abstract is Figure No.	
as suggested by the applic	cant.	None of the figures.
because the applicant faile		
because this figure better	characterizes the interest of	

International application No.

PCT/US 99/09521

BxI	Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 first sheet)
This Inte	ernational Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
1. X	Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely: Remark: Although claims 4-6,8,16-22,30-47 are directed to a diagnostic method practised on the human/animal body, the search has been carried out and based on the alleged effects of the compound/composition.
2. X	Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically: $claims: 1-10,13,14,16-47 \ (partially)$
	see FURTHER INFORMATION sheet PCT/ISA/210
3.	Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box II	Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This Inte	ernational Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
1.	As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2.	As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3.	As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4.	No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Remark	on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest. No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 210

Continuation of Box I.2

Claims Nos.: 1-10,13,14,16-47 (partially)

Present claims 1-6 relate to compounds defined by reference to a desirable characteristic or property, namely the selective binding to the PTH2 receptor.

The claims cover all products having this characteristic or property, whereas the application provides support within the meaning of Article 6 PCT and/or disclosure within the meaning of Article 5 PCT for only a very limited number of such products. In the present case, the claims so lack support, and the application so lacks disclosure, that a meaningful search over the whole of the claimed scope is impossible. Independent of the above reasoning, the claims also lack clarity (Article 6 PCT). An attempt is made to define the product by reference to a result to be achieved. Again, this lack of clarity in the present case is such as to render a meaningful search over the whole of the claimed scope impossible.

In addition, claims 7-10,13,14,16-47 relate to an extremely large number of possible compounds. Support within the meaning of Article 6 PCT and disclosure within the meaning of Article 5 PCT is to be found, however, for only a very small proportion of the compounds claimed. In the present case, the claims so lack support, and the application so lacks disclosure, that a meaningful search over the whole of the claimed scope is impossible.

Consequently, the search has been carried out for those parts of the claims which appear to be clear, supported and disclosed, namely those parts relating to the products mentioned in the claims 11,12 and 15, and extended to claims 16-47 as far as they refer to the searched subject-matter.

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that claims, or parts of claims, relating to inventions in respect of which no international search report has been established need not be the subject of an international preliminary examination (Rule 66.1(e) PCT). The applicant is advised that the EPO policy when acting as an International Preliminary Examining Authority is normally not to carry out a preliminary examination on matter which has not been searched. This is the case irrespective of whether or not the claims are amended following receipt of the search report or during any Chapter II procedure.

ernational Application No

		PCT/US 99	9/09521
A. CLASSI IPC 6	FICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER C07K14/635 A61K38/29		
According to	o International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classifi	cation and IPC	
	SEARCHED		
IPC 6	ocumentation searched (classification system followed by classifical CO7K A61K	tion symbols)	
	tion searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that		
Electronic d	ata base consulted during the international search (name of data b	ase and, where practical, search terms use	d)
C. DOCUM	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the re	elevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	GARDELLA T J ET AL: "CONVERTING PARATHYROID HORMONE-RELATED PEPT (PTHRP) INTO A POTENT PTH-2 RECE AGONIST" JOURNAL OF BIOLOGICAL CHEMISTRY,US,AMERICAN SOCIETY OF BIOLOGICAL CHEMISTS, BALTIMORE, vol. 271, no. 33, page 19888-19889-198888-1988888-1988888-1988888-1988888-1988888-1988888-1988888-1988888-19888888-1988888-1988888-1988888-1988888-1988888-1988888-1988888-19888888-1988888-1988888-1988888-1988888-1988888-1988888-1988888-1988888-1988888-1988888-1988888-1988888-1988888-198888-1988888-1988888-1988888-1988888-1988888-1988888-1988888-1988888-1988888-1988888-1988888-1988888-1988888-19888888-19888888-19888888-198888888-1988888888	PTOR	
χ Furth	er documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	X Patent family members are listed	in annex.
<u> </u>	egories of cited documents :	"T" later document published after the inte	
"E" earlier de filling de "L" documer which is citation "O" docume other m	nt which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or s cited to establish the publication date of another or other special reason (as specified) nt referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or	or priority date and not in conflict with cited to understand the principle or the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the cannot be considered novel or cannot involve an inventive step when the do "Y" document of particular relevance; the cannot be considered to involve an in document is combined with one or moments, such combination being obvious in the art. "&" document member of the same patent	the application but early underlying the claimed invention be considered to cument is taken alone claimed invention ventive step when the pre other such docuus to a person skilled
Date of the a	ctual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international sea	arch report
26	November 1999	14/12/1999	
Name and m	ailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Authorized officer Cervigni, S	

2

national Application No PCT/US 99/09521

	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	Tours and the second
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	USDIN TB: "Evidence for a parathyroid hormone-2 receptor selective ligand in the hypothalamus" ENDOCRINOLOGY, vol. 138, no. 2, February 1997 (1997-02), pages 831-834, XP002124010 cited in the application abstract	
A	BEHAR V. ET AL.: "Histidine at position 5 is the specificity "switch" between two parathyroid hormone receptor subtypes" ENDOCRINOLOGY, vol. 137, no. 10, October 1996 (1996-10), pages 4217-4224, XP002124011 cited in the application abstract	
A	WO 97 02834 A (BIOMEASURE INC ; DONG ZHENG XIN (US)) 30 January 1997 (1997-01-30)	
Α	WO 98 14478 A (CHOREV MICHAEL ;BETH ISRAEL HOSPITAL (US); ROSENBLATT MICHAEL (US)) 9 April 1998 (1998-04-09)	
A	WO 92 11286 A (ALLELIX BIOPHARMA ;GLAXO CANADA (CA)) 9 July 1992 (1992-07-09)	
A	EP 0 477 885 A (TAKEDA CHEMICAL INDUSTRIES LTD) 1 April 1992 (1992-04-01)	

ation on patent family members

rnational Application No PCT/US 99/09521

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)		Publication date
WO 9702834	Α	30-01-1997	US	5723577	Α	03-03-1998
			AU	707094	В	01-07-1999
			AU	6483496	Α	10-02-1997
			CA	2226177	Α	30-01-1997
			CN	1199340	Α	18-11-1998
			EP	0847278	Α	17-06-1998
			HU	9901718	Α	28-09-1999
			JP	11509201	T	17-08-1999
			NZ	312899	Α	28-10-1999
			PL	325905		17-08-1998
			SK	3198	Α	08-07-1998
			US	5969095	Α	19-10-1999
			US	5955574	Α	21-09-1999
WO 9814478	Α	09-04-1998	US	5747456	A	05-05-1998
			AU	4501697	Α	24-04-1998
WO 9211286	Α	09-07-1992	AU	9103791		22-07-1992
			CA	2098283		22-06-1992
			PT	99904	Α	31-12-1992
EP 0477885	Α	01-04-1992	JP	5032696	 A	09-02-1993
	••		ĀT	175972		15-02-1999
			CA	2052375		29-03-1992
			DE	69130790		04-03-1999
			DE		Ť	22-07-1999
			ÜS	5393869	•	28-02-1993

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

Słovenia
Slovakia
Senegal
Swaziland
Chad
Togo
Tajikistan
Turkmenistan
Turkey
Trinidad and Tobago
Ukraine
Uganda
United States of America
Uzbekistan
Viet Nam
Yugoslavia
Zimbabwe



PCT

				<u> </u>
	REC'D	17	MAY	2000
L	WIPO			PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

		nt's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACT		ication of Transmittal of International ry Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
00537/16	9WC)1 				
Internationa			International filing date (day	y/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/US9			03/05/1999		05/05/1998	
Internationa C07K14/0		nt Classification (IPC) or na	tional classification and IPC			
Applicant						
SOCIETE	DE	CONSEILS DE RECH	IERCHES ET et al.			
		ational preliminary exam smitted to the applicant a		epared by this In	ternational Preliminary Examining Authority	
2. This F	REPO	RT consists of a total of	5 sheets, including this o	cover sheet.		
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT). These annexes consist of a total of sheets.						
3. This r	eport ⊠	contains indications rela	ating to the following items	s :		
H		•				
111			pinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
V		Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement uncitations and explanation		gard to novelty, in	ve nti ve step or industrial applicability;	
VI		Certain documents cit				
VII		Certain defects in the i				
VIII	Ø	Certain observations o	n the international applica	ation		
Date of sub	missi	on of the demand	T	Date of completion	of this report	
02/12/19	99			12.05.2000		
	exam	g address of the internation	al	Authorized officer	E CONTROL MILITARY	
0))	D-8	opean Patent Office 0298 Munich		van Heusden, N	M ()	
<u> </u>		+49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 52365	6 epmu d	T.1	100 0000 814E	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/US99/09521

I.	Bas	is o	f the	repo	ort
••					

1.	resp	s report has been drawn on the basis of (substitute sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office i ponse to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to report since they do not contain amendments.):					
	Des	cription, pages:					
	1-31	I	as originally filed				
	Clai	ms, No.:					
	1-47	7	as originally filed				
2.	The	amendments have	e resulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description,	pages:				
		the claims,	Nos.:				
		the drawings,	sheets:				
3.		This report has be considered to go l	een established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have beer beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)):				
4.	Ado	litional observation	s, if necessary:				
111.	Noi	n-establishment o	f opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
Th or	e qu to be	estions whether the industrially applic	e claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), able have not been examined in respect of:				
		the entire internat	ional application.				
	×	claims Nos. 1-10,	13-14, 16-17, 20-21, 23-24, 27-28, 30-32, 35-36, 38-40, 43-44, 46-47.				
be	caus	se:					
			onal application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does ernational preliminary examination (specify):				

	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.
×	no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos. 1-10, 13-14, 16-17, 20-21, 23-24, 27-28, 30-32, 35-36, 38-40, 43-44, 46-47.

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 11, 12, 15, 18-19, 22, 25-26, 29, 33-34, 37, 41-42, 45

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims 11, 12, 15, 18-19, 22, 25-26, 29, 33-34, 37, 41-42, 45

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 11, 12, 15, 25-26, 29

No: Claims 18-19, 22, 33-34, 37, 41-42, 45 (?)

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

IIII e i i allo i al

Additional remarks to section V:

1. Novelty and Inventive step (Article 33(2)(3) PCT)

The hPTH and hPTHrP analogues provided in claims 11, 12 and 15 are neither disclosed nor suggested in the cited prior art and thus are considered novel and inventive.

2. Industrial applicability (Article 33(4) PCT)

The subject matter of claims 11, 12, 15, 25, 26 and 29 is industrially applicable.

The subject matter of claims 18, 19, 22, 33, 34, 37, 41, 42 and 45 includes methods of treatment of the human or animal body and is thus excluded from examination by Article 34(4)(a)(i) PCT in combination with Rule 67(iv) PCT. For the assessment of these claims on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in PCT. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but will allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

The applicant is already informed that in the case of a European application, these aforementioned claims are not allowable because 'methods of treatment of human or animal body by surgery or by therapy and diagnostic methods practised on the human or animal body shall not be regarded as inventions which are susceptible of industrial application'.

Additional remarks to section VIII:

The following objections are raised under **Article 6 PCT** concerning the clarity of the claims:

1. Even though not specified in dependent claims 11, 12 or 15, it seems that the gist

of the present invention is to provide analogues of hPTH or hPTHrP that selectively bind the PTH2 receptor, i.e. that do not bind/activate the PTH/PTHrP receptor. However, the description does not provide any data on any binding activity of the claimed analogues, let alone on their selectivity for the PTH2 receptor. Especially in view of the unpredictability of the effect of a small structural change on the biological function of a peptide, it is questionable whether the compounds of claims 11, 12 and 15 do indeed bind to the PTH2 receptor. Thus the binding of the claimed compounds to the PTH2 receptor is not experimentally supported by the description. The selective binding of the compounds to the PTH2 receptor is even less supported.

Furthermore, the description does not provide any data showing or suggesting the usefulness of the compounds in medical applications, even less so their usefulness in the specific disorders listed in claims 41, 42 and 45. Thus also claims 18-19, 22, 25-26, 29, 33-34, 37, 41-42 and 45 are not experimentally supported.

PAIENI COOPERATION IREAT

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To: FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 225 Franklin Street Boston, Massachusetts 02110-2804 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.... and Statement Services and the services

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT OR THE DECLARATION

(PCT Rule 44.1)

DEC 2 0 1000

Estate de la constante de la c

Date of mailing

(day/month/year) 14/12/1999 Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION 00537/169W01 See paragraphs 1 and 4 below 2)14 00 - responsementationalifiling date International application No. 3114/00 Or 17/6500 PCT/US 99/09521 03/05/1999 Applicant 10ed 113100 SOCIETE DE CONSEILS DE RECHERCHES ET D'APPLICATION

1. [X]	The applicant is hereby notified that the International Search Report has been established and is transmitted herewith.					
	Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19: The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the International Application (see Rule 46):					
	When?	The time limit for filing such amendments is normally 2 months from the date of transmittal of the International Search Report; however, for more details, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.				
	Where?	Directly to the	International Bureau of WIPO 34. chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Fascimile No.: (41–22) 740.14.35	Resp. to Report 2/14/00 06537/16900PARI		
	For mor	e detailed instr	uctions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.	3/14/00		
2.	The applicant is hereby notified that no International Search Report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect is transmitted herewith.					
3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified						
	the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices.					
	no no	decision has be	en made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notific	ed as soon as a decision is made.		
4. Fur	Further action(s): The applicant is reminded of the following:					
lf pr	Shortly after 18 months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication.					
Witt wi	ithin 19 months from the priority date, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later).					
be	Within 20 months from the priority date, the applicant must perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase before all designated Offices which have not been elected in the demand or in a later election within 19 months from the priority date or could not be elected because they are not bound by Chapter II.					

Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2

NL-2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Barbara Klaver

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions respectively.

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international polication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only.

What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been is filed, see below.

How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended, it must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled:
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]:
 "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers; claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]: "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]:
 - *Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added.* or *Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged.*
- 4. [Where various kinds of amendments are made]: "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

"Statement under article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the language in which the international appplication is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the same time of filing the amendments with the International Bureau, also file a copy of such amendments with the International Preliminary Examining Authority (see Rule 62.2(a), first sentence).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, where upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.